

## An Engineering Approach to Nonlinear Estimation

by J. H. Park, Jr.

Abstract

Parameter identification in nonlinear systems is very similar to the estimation of certain signal parameters. In both cases the problem is to estimate a time invariant parameter that cannot be extracted from the data by a linear operation. The data is observed in the presence of additive noise for a finite time duration  $T$ . For this nonlinear estimation problem a solution is desired that is readily realizable by physical systems.

In the proposed solution to this problem the signal processing equipment is restricted to be linear time invariant circuits "read" sometime in the observation interval, followed by a quantizer and digital computer. The various impulse responses of these linear circuits are designed to be orthogonal over the interval  $[0, T]$ ; hence, they span a subspace,  $S_g$ , of function space. The computer operates on the components of the data in this subspace. The computer operations are restricted to be linear or multiplication, division or square/square root. It is shown how maximum likelihood estimators and Bayes estimators with a quadratic cost function for a large class of parameters can be determined exactly or closely approximated using the above techniques. Several examples are worked out in detail such as the estimation of the width of a pulse and first order optimum estimation of an unknown signal.

\*Supported by NASS Grant No. 712.

U. Minnesota  
HC 1.00  
MF .50

N66 29569  
cat. 19

Code 1  
CR-75892  
pages-22

## I. Introduction

The observed time functions or signals in communication and control problems can be represented in a variety of ways. In communication work one usually considers the observation of a single function. This function is often treated as a vector in a linear vector space. For example, if it is band-limited the sample values are the vector components and the cardinal function is the tacitly assumed interpolating or component function. With random signals it is convenient to use the Karhunen-Loève expansion to obtain vector components (i.e., expansion coefficients) that are uncorrelated. In control problems one treats "state variables" and the observables are a set of state variables or a state vector which is a (finite) vector time function. There is no reason why each of the components of this state vector cannot be treated as the single observation of the communication problem resulting in a representation of each state vector as a vector with time invariant components.

In a practical engineering situation one may wish to truncate such a vector and operate on the resulting projection. For example, it is extremely inconvenient to use all the sample values of a band-limited signal, one usually restricts the observation to a finite time interval hence a finite number of samples. Any parameter that may be of interest is presumed to be embedded in one or more of these time invariant coefficients.

In general a nonlinear operation on a set of these coefficients is required to obtain an estimate of the desired parameter. The form of an estimator has now been specified, it consists of linear operations to obtain the time invariant coefficients and nonlinear operations on the resultant set of numbers to obtain the parameter estimate. That is, a linear analog operation followed by nonlinear digital operations, a potentially easy physical realization. One must consider how close such operations are to the optimum.

In this paper it is assumed that a single function of time (one state variable) is observed with known structure and an unknown parameter and unknown amplitude in the presence of additive Gaussian noise. That is,  $v(t) = A\phi(t, \alpha) + n(t)$  is observed. An observation time  $T$  is assumed and a set of linear operators,  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  with corresponding time functions,  $t_1(t), t_2(t), \dots, t_n(t)$  orthogonal on the  $T$  interval are used. The noise is assumed to be zero mean with a flat (white) spectrum. The resultant set of coefficients are therefore Gaussian, time independent, and statistically independent. An appropriate nonlinear operation is then used which gives a near optimal estimate of a parameter  $\alpha$  of  $\phi$ . Estimates of this type are obtained by the method of least squares. The variance of the estimate is based on the use of only one of  $v(t)$  for  $S_1$  as compared to the variance based on all of  $v(t)$ .

### III. The Near-Optimum Estimators

Optimum estimators are conventionally defined in terms of risk theory utilizing a preassigned cost function. If a quadratic cost function is used the optimum (Bayes) estimate is just the expected value of the parameter with respect to the posterior statistics. In the case of maximum likelihood estimation (Bayes estimate with a simple cost function) the estimate is that value of the parameter corresponding to the peak of the posterior statistics. In either case knowledge of the posterior statistics is sufficient to define the optimum estimator.

In the present context  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are defined as the vector components of  $s(t, \alpha)$  in  $S_s$ , that is,  $L_k[s(t, \alpha)] = a_k$ . Similarly  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  are those components associated with  $n(t)$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  with  $v(t)$ .  $\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{b}$ , and  $\bar{c}$  represents the corresponding ordered n-tuples of these coefficients. Hence  $\bar{c} = A\bar{a} + \bar{b}$ . From the assumptions on  $n(t)$  the  $b_k$ 's are zero mean, Gaussian and statistically independent. The posterior density distribution of  $\alpha$ ,  $p(\alpha/\bar{c})$  is therefore

$$p(\alpha/\bar{c}) = \left[ 1/K \right] \int_A p(\bar{c}/\alpha, A) p(\alpha, A) dA \quad \text{II-1}$$

where

$$K = \int_A \int_{\alpha} p(\bar{c}/\alpha, A) p(\alpha, A) d\alpha dA \quad \text{II-2}$$

and is independent of  $\alpha$ .  $p(\alpha, A)$  is the a priori probability density of  $\alpha$  and  $A$ .  $p(\bar{c}/\alpha, A)$  is obtained from the known noise statistics. That is

$$p(\bar{c}/\alpha, A) = [\text{constant}] \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ c_i - A a_i(\alpha) \right]^2 / 2\sigma_i^2 \right\} \quad \text{II-3}$$

where the  $\alpha$  dependence of the  $a_k$ 's has been indicated. If the  $t_i$ 's are normalized then the  $\sigma_i$ 's are identical. The difficulty in obtaining optimum estimates of  $\alpha$  from II-3 and II-1 is due to the nonlinear dependence of the  $a_i$ 's on  $\alpha$ .

Engineering judgement has already been necessary in the choice of the  $L$ 's. Further engineering judgement is now required to find a set of nonlinear transformations (coordinate change if you like) on the  $a$ 's  $f_1(\bar{a})$ ,  $f_2(\bar{a})$ , ...,  $f_n(\bar{a})$  such that  $f_1(\bar{a}) = \alpha$  and the set of functions  $\{f_i\}$  has a corresponding inverse set  $\{g_i\}$  such that  $g(f(\bar{a})) = \bar{a}$ . The first condition is almost tantamount to guessing the optimum estimator. The second assumption will insure us that no information is lost in the transformation, that  $p(\alpha/\bar{c}) = p(\alpha/f(\bar{c}))$ . With these assumptions and II-1 the posterior statistics of  $\alpha$  become

$$p[\alpha/f(\bar{c})] = (1/K) \int p(f(\bar{c})/\alpha, A) p(\alpha, A) dA \quad \text{II-4}$$

As will be seen in the examples  $p(\alpha/f(\bar{c}))$  often has a practical expected value that depends only on  $f_1(\bar{c})$ . In this case the optimum estimator consists of the linear operators  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , ...,  $L_n$  and the nonlinear operator  $f_1$ .

The variable  $\tilde{c}$  has Gaussian statistics (non-central) hence  $p(f_1(\tilde{c})/\alpha)$  can be obtained for various nonlinear functions  $f_1$ . Hence the statistics of the estimate may be readily evaluated. Determination of whether the estimate is biased or not  $(E(f_1(\tilde{c})) = \alpha?)$  is easily done as well as the evaluation of the variance of the estimate. At this point the utility of this approach might be questioned based on the required assumptions and dependence on engineering judgement. The applications of the next section should quench any such apprehensions.

### III. Applications

#### A. Pulse Width

The term "pulse width" is unfortunately an ambiguous term. An unambiguous definition that satisfies our intuition might be "radius of gyration" (or square root of the second moment about the mean). In this section the square of the pulse width will be considered as the parameter of interest and defined in such a way as to fit in the context of section II. It is then shown that for three common pulse shapes that this definition gives a width almost equal to the radius of gyration. The three pulse shapes considered are tabulated below.

The observation time is assumed to be large when the signal width is small. For the square and triangular and the half-sine wave the radius of gyration is known to be  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  respectively. The pulse width is assumed to be small when the signal width is large.

Table 1

Pulse Shape under Consideration

<u>Shape</u>	<u>Functional Form</u>	<u>Radius of Gyration</u>
Square	$A[u(t) - u(t-b)]$	$b/\sqrt{3}$
Triangular	$A\left\{\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)u(t) + \left[\frac{2(b-t)}{b}\right]u(t-b) - \left[\frac{(2b-t)}{b}\right]u(t-2b)\right\}$	$b/\sqrt{6}$
Gaussian	$A \exp(-t^2/2b^2)$	$b$

for the Gaussian pulse. The two linear operators that will be used have the following orthonormal corresponding time functions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(t) &= \left[2/(3\pi)\right]^{1/2} t^2 \exp(-t^2/2) \\ \psi_2(t) &= \left[2/3\pi\right]^{1/2} [3/2 - t^2] \exp(-t^2/2) \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-1}$$

The nonlinear functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(a) &= a_1/a_2 \\ f_2(a) &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-2}$$

with corresponding inverses

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= f_1 f_2 / (1 + f_1^2)^{1/2} \\ a_2 &= f_2 / (1 + f_1^2)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-3}$$

The square of the pulse width is defined now as  $f_1(a) = W^2$

Table 2 gives the coefficients  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in terms of  $a$  and  $W$  for the three shapes and also compares  $W$  with the radius of gyration  $b$  for each shape.

The results given are approximations and are for  $b \gg W$ .

They are the first terms in power series in  $W/b$ .

Table 2

Pulse shape	$a_1$	$a_2$	$W = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^{1/2}$	Radius of gyration
Square	$Ab^3 (2/9) (12/\sqrt{\pi})^{1/2}$	$Ab(b/\sqrt{\pi})^{1/2}$	$(.56)b$	$(.58)b$
Triangle	$Ab^3 (1/3) (1/3/\pi)^{1/2}$	$Ab(3/2) (2/3/\pi)^{1/2}$	$(.40)b$	$(.41)b$
Gaussian	$2b^2 A (2/\pi/3)^{1/2}$	$3Ab(\sqrt{\pi}/3)^{1/2}$	$(.97)b$	$(1.0)b$

The definition of pulse width as  $(a_1/a_2)^{1/2}$  appears to satisfy our intuition as long as the width is small compared to the "variance" of the  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  functions (assumed one in this example, see III-1).

The joint probability density of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  given  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  is

$$p(c_1, c_2/a_1, a_2) = (1/2\pi\sigma^2) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[(c_1-a_1)^2 + (c_2-a_2)^2]\right\}$$

III-4

The transformation from the variables  $c_1, c_2$  to  $f_1(\bar{c}), f_2(\bar{c})$  is easily performed resulting in

$$p(f_1, f_2/a_1, a_2) = (1/2\pi\sigma^2) \left[ \frac{f_2}{(1+f_1^2)} \right] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(f_2^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2)\right] \\ \times \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{f_2(a_1 f_1 + a_2)}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{(1+f_1^2)}}\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{f_2(a_1 f_1 + a_2)}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{(1+f_1^2)}}\right] \right\}$$

III-5

It is now desirable to obtain this conditional density in terms of the parameters  $W$  and  $A$  rather than  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . From Table 2 it can be seen that  $A$  is proportional to  $(a_2^3/a_1)^{1/2}$  hence, for mathematical simplicity  $A$  is so defined. That is



$$A^2 = a_2^3/a_1$$

$$W^2 = a_1/a_2$$

III-6

with the inverse relations

$$a_2 = AW$$

$$a_1 = AW^3$$

III-7

All the computations to obtain posterior statistics are now independent of pulse shape.

If III-7 is used in III-5 the following conditional density is obtained after a little rearranging of terms,

$$p(f_1, f_2/A, W) = (1/\pi\sigma^2) \left( \frac{f_2}{1+f_1} \right)^2 \exp \left[ - (f_2^2 + A^2 W^2 + A^2 W^6) / 2\sigma^2 \right]$$

$$\times \cosh \left[ \frac{AWf_2(W^2 f_1 + 1)}{\sigma^2 (1+f_1)^{1/2}} \right]$$

III-8

The desired posterior statistics are obtained by multiplying III-8 by  $p(A, W)$  and integrating over  $A$ . A priori we assume  $A > 0$  and from III-2  $f_2 > 0$ . Hence for high  $S/N$  (that is  $AWf_2/\sigma^2$  large compared to 1)  $\cosh$  is closely approximated by  $1/2 \exp$  in III-8. The expression for the posterior statistics for high signal to noise is therefore,

$$p(W/f_1, f_2) = (1/2\pi\sigma^2) \left( \frac{f_2}{1+f_1} \right)^2 \exp(-f_2^2/2\sigma^2)$$

III-9

$$\times \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ - \left[ A^2 W^2 (1+W^4) + \frac{2AWf_2(W^2 f_1 + 1)}{\sigma^2 (1+f_1)^{1/2}} \right] / 2\sigma^2 \right\} p(A, W) dA$$

Completing the square in A in the exponential under the integral sign and assuming  $p(A, W) = p(A)p(W)$  yields

$$p(W/f_1, f_2) = \left[ p(W)/2\pi\sigma^2 \right] \left( \frac{f_2}{1+f_1} \right)^2 \exp \left\{ - \frac{f_2^2}{2\sigma^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(W^2 f_1 + 1)^2}{(1+W^4)(1+f_1^2)} \right] \right\}$$

$$\times \int_A \exp \left\{ - \left[ AW(1+W^4)^{1/2} - \frac{f_2(W^2 f_1 + 1)}{(1+W^4)^{1/2}(1+f_1^2)^{1/2}} \right] / 2\sigma^2 \right\} p(A) dA$$

III-10

If  $p(A)$  is "flat" compared to the exponential (that is a priori knowledge is vague) then the integral evaluates easily and we finally obtain

$$p(W/f_1, f_2) = \text{constant} \cdot p(W) \cdot \left\{ W^2 (1+W^4) \left[ 1 + \frac{(f_1 - W^2)^2}{(1+W^2 f_1)^2} \right] \right\}^{-1/2}$$

$$\exp \left\{ - \frac{f_2^2}{2\sigma^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(f_1 - W^2)^2}{(1+W^2 f_1)^2}} \right] \right\}.$$

III-11

For the above W to satisfy our intuitive notion concerning pulse width we required that  $W < 1$ . Let us further assume that  $f_1 < 1$  so that III-11 is approximated by

$$p(W/f_1, f_2) \approx \text{constant} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{W} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\sigma/f_2)} \exp \left[ - \frac{(f_2 - W^2)^2}{2\sigma^2/f_2^2} \right] \quad \text{III-12}$$

That is, for high  $f_2/\sigma$  and  $f_1$  bounded away from zero,  $p(W/f_1, f_2)$  has Gaussian posterior statistics with mean  $f_2$  and variance  $\sigma^2/f_2^2$ .

The estimate of  $W^2$  is therefore  $f_1$  for high  $S/N$  and is unbiased. As the signal to noise decreases the estimate will be something closer to zero than  $f_1$  and also becomes biased.

### B. AM and FM Detection

Consider a narrowband signal together with narrowband noise, the signal may be amplitude modulated or frequency (or phase) modulated in both cases we assume the carrier frequency is known. We will now determine the optimum estimate of instantaneous amplitude (unknown phase) or frequency (unknown amplitude). Optimum detectors of am and fm should take into account the second order statistics as well as the first order statistics. In this treatment we assume Wiener type smoothing before and/or after the estimator and determine the estimator from first order statistics.

The signal is  $s(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= A(t) \cos[\omega_c t + \theta + \varphi(t)] \\ &= A(t) \cos \omega_c t \cos[\theta + \varphi(t)] - A(t) \sin \omega_c t \sin[\theta + \varphi(t)] . \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-13}$$

The noise  $n(t)$  can be represented by an in-phase and quadrature component, i.e.,

$$n(t) = n_1(t) \cos \omega_c t - n_2(t) \sin \omega_c t \quad \text{III-14}$$

where  $n_1(t)$  and  $n_2(t)$  are independent processes with the same

first order statistics as  $n(t)$ . The optimum estimator is

multiplying by  $\cos \omega_c t$  and averaging over a long time interval

number of periods of the carrier (low pass filtering gives the same result). Hence the vector components of interest are

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= A \cos(\omega + \theta) + n_1(t) \\ c_2 &= A \sin(\omega + \theta) + n_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-15}$$

Since  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are zero mean Gaussian and independent we know

$$p(c_1, c_2/A, \omega, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left[-\frac{[a_1 - A\cos(\omega + \theta)]^2 + [a_2 - A\sin(\omega + \theta)]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \quad \text{III-16}$$

The nonlinear functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \\ f_2 &= \cos^{-1}[a_1/(a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{1/2}] = \tan^{-1}(a_2/a_1) \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-17}$$

The statistics of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  given  $A, \omega, \theta$  are easily found from III-16.

$$p(f_1, f_2/A, \omega, \theta) = (A/4\sigma^2) \exp\left[-\frac{A^2 - f_1^2 - 2Af_1 \cos(f_2 - \omega - \theta)}{2\sigma^2}\right] \quad \text{III-18}$$

for  $A > 0$  and  $0 \leq f_2, \omega, \theta < 2\pi$

In the case of air detection we assume  $\omega = 0$  and  $\theta$  is uniformly distributed from 0 to  $2\pi$ . Furthermore we assume  $A$  and  $\theta$  are a priori independent hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(A, f_1, f_2) &= \text{constant} \quad p(A) = (A/4\sigma^2) \exp\left[-\frac{A^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{f_1^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \\ &\quad \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left[-\frac{A^2 - f_1^2 - 2Af_1 \cos(f_2 - \theta)}{2\sigma^2}\right] d\theta d\omega \end{aligned} \quad \text{III-19}$$

This gives the well known result

$$p(A/f_1, f_2) = \text{constant} \cdot p(A) \cdot A \exp\left[-A^2/2\sigma_1^2\right] \exp\left(-\frac{A^2}{2}\right) \quad \text{III-20}$$

For high signal to noise and "flat" a priori probabilities this is known to be Gaussian with mean  $f_1$  and variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , i.e., the optimum detector is the envelope detector.

The more interesting case is when  $A$  is constant and we wish an estimate of  $d\phi/dt$  or  $\phi$ . We assume  $\phi$  is uniformly distributed a priori,  $\theta$  is identically zero,  $A$  has a Rayleigh distribution and  $A$  and  $\phi$  are independent, that is, a priori

$$p(A, \theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} p(A) & 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, \theta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{III-21}$$

and

$$p(A) = \begin{cases} A/\sigma_1^2 \exp(-A^2/2\sigma_1^2) & A \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{III-22}$$

In this case

$$p(\phi/f_1, f_2) = \text{constant} \int_0^\infty A p(A) \exp\left[\frac{-A^2 + 2Af_1 \cos(f_2 - \phi)}{2\sigma_1^2}\right] dA \quad \text{III-23}$$

It can be shown that the integral in III-23 is the same as the integral in III-22. This can be shown by using the identity



$$\frac{df_2}{df_1} = \frac{c_1 c_2 - c_2 c_1}{c_1^2 - c_2^2}$$

III-28

This is what is known as the "ideal detector". The results here are based on first order statistics. It appears that, at least for high signal to noise ratios this first order optimum estimate followed by a Wiener filter (minimum mean square error filter) would be the optimum also considering second order statistics.

### C Velocity Error of a Narrow Band Process

Let  $x(t)$  be a narrowband stochastic process centered at  $\omega_c$  radians/sec  $x(t)$  may be written as

$$x(t) = A(t) \cos[\omega_c t + \phi(t)] \quad \text{III-29}$$

where  $A(t)$  and  $\phi(t)$  vary slowly with time. This  $x(t)$  is observed over a time interval spanning several cycles of  $\cos \omega_c t$  but over which  $A$  and  $\phi$  are essentially constant and there is additive zero mean noise also narrowband. There is a desired velocity  $v_d(t)$  which also comes from a narrowband position  $x_d(t)$ . An estimate of the velocity error  $e(t) = v_d(t) - dx(t)/dt$  is desired. We assume the actual position and velocity are close to the desired position and velocity.

15

$$x_d(t) = B(t) \cos[\omega_c t + \theta(t)] \quad \text{III-30}$$

hence

$$v_d(t) = B'(t) \cos[\omega_c t + \theta(t)] - B(t) \omega_c \sin[\omega_c t + \theta(t)] \quad \text{III-31}$$

III-31

Note  $B$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\omega_c$  are known to the observer but  $A$  and  $\phi$  are not.

The actual velocity  $v(t)$  is

$$v(t) = dx(t)/dt = A(t) \cos[\omega_c t + \phi(t)] - A(t) [\omega_c + \dot{\phi}(t)] \sin[\omega_c t + \phi(t)]$$

III-32

Since  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\theta$  are slowly varying  $v(t)$  and  $v_d(t)$  are, to a first approximation, given by

$$v(t) \approx -A(t)\omega_c \sin[\omega_c t + \phi(t)]$$

$$v_d(t) \approx -B(t)\omega_c \sin[\omega_c t + \theta(t)]$$

III-33

Letting  $A = B + \Delta B$  and  $\phi = \theta + \Delta\theta$  and assuming  $\Delta B$  and  $\Delta\theta$  are small the velocity error becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e(t) &= v_d(t) - v(t) \\ &= \omega_c \Delta\theta x_d(t) - \frac{\Delta B}{B} v_d(t) \end{aligned}$$

III-34

where  $\Delta\theta$  and  $\Delta B$  are the unknowns.

Note we observe

$$U(t) = (B + \Delta B) \cos(\omega_c t + \theta + \Delta\theta) + n_1(t) \cos(\omega_c t + \theta) - n_2(t) \sin(\omega_c t + \theta)$$

III-35

The disturbance has been written as an in-phase and quadrature component. As in section 2 we use the linear operators of multiplying by  $\cos(\omega_c t + \theta)$  and  $\sin(\omega_c t + \theta)$  and integrating over the observation interval. Since we desire the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= (B + \Delta B) \cos\Delta\theta + n_1(t) \\ c_2 &= (B + \Delta B) \sin\Delta\theta + n_2(t) \end{aligned}$$

III-36



Again using  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  as defined in III-16 we obtain

$$p(f_1, f_2/B, \Delta\theta, \Delta\phi) = \left[ (B + \Delta\phi)/4\pi^2 \sigma^2 \right] \times \exp \left[ - \frac{(B + \Delta\phi)^2 - 2f_1(B + \Delta\phi) \cos(f_2 - \Delta\theta) + f_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad \text{III-37}$$

The error is a linear combination of  $\Delta\theta$  and  $\Delta\phi$  hence we must obtain

$$p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi/f_1, f_2),$$

$$p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi/f_1, f_2) = p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi) p(f_1, f_2/\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi, B) \quad \text{III-38}$$

We now use a high signal to noise approximation together with the small error approximation, namely, we let

$$\cos(f_2 - \Delta\theta) \approx 1 - \frac{(f_2 - \Delta\theta)^2}{2}$$

and obtain from III-38 and III-37

$$p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi/f_1, f_2) \approx p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi) \exp \left[ - \frac{\Delta\phi^2 - 2f_1\Delta\phi + f_1^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) \times \exp \left[ \frac{(f_2 - \Delta\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2/Bf_1} \right] \left( \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sigma}{Bf_1} \right)$$

If a priori  $p(\Delta\theta, \Delta\phi)$  is "flat" we see that  $\Delta\theta$  and  $\Delta\phi$  are essentially independent and the optimum estimate of  $\Delta\theta$  is  $f_2$  and the optimum estimate of  $\Delta\phi$  is  $B \cdot f_1$ .

Furthermore the variance of  $\Delta\phi$  is  $\sigma^2$  and the variance of  $\Delta\theta$  is  $\sigma^2/Bf_1 \approx \sigma^2/B^2$ . From Eqs. (3.44) the optimum estimate of velocity error is  $\hat{v}_d(t) = (1 - \frac{1}{B}) v_d(t)$  and the variance of the estimate is  $\sigma_c^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{Bf_1} \approx \frac{\sigma_c^2}{B^2} \frac{\sigma^2}{v_d^2} \approx \sigma_c^2 \sigma^2$ .

#### IV. Conclusion

A fairly general technique for the solution of the estimation problem has been presented. At the outset it was decided that suboptimum estimates would be acceptable because the resultant estimators would be easily realized in a physical system. The obvious question arises as to how the basic sets are chosen and how the nonlinear transformations are determined. The mathematician may be particularly critical of this aspect of the approach. The equally obvious defense is that the engineer is noted for his intuition in such problems and furthermore, he may be motivated to use his imagination and obtain solutions using this attack but give up before starting if required to solve a complicated integral equation. Many engineers would have obtained the results of Section III or something very similar. The flexibility and versatility of the approach suggests that it could almost become a parlor game.

The one question that has not been answered is: To what extent are the solutions obtained suboptimum? What is, how much larger is the variance when compared to the true optimum and how much is the risk function increased. Other areas for further work involving adaptive estimation and the use of Kalman-type estimators for the estimation of parameters of systems with unknown parameters are also open. For the application to other parameters when the observation is done in the presence of white Gaussian noise.

Related references, 8/6/73

- ✓ 65N90299 PP-2 NSG-712 65/00/00 4 PAGES UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT  
A RISK THEORY APPROACH TO NONLINEAR ESTIMATION PROBLEMS IN  
COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL PROGRESS REPORT; DEC. 16, 1964 - JUN. 16,  
1965  
A/PARK, J. H., JR. <1965< 4 P REFS  
MINNESOTA UNIV., MINNEAPOLIS. (DEPT. OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING.)
- ✓ 66X91169 NASA-CR-60265 NSG-712 64/00/00 6 PAGES UNCLASSIFIED  
DOCUMENT NASA  
A RISK THEORY APPROACH TO NONLINEAR ESTIMATION PROBLEMS IN  
COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL FIRST PROGRESS REPORT; 16 JUN. - 16 DEC.  
1964  
A/PARK, J. H., JR.  
<1964< 6 P  
MINNESOTA UNIV., MINNEAPOLIS. (DEPT. OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING.)
- ✓ 70A29589+ ISSUE 13 PAGE 2367 CATEGORY 7 NSG-712 70/04/00 9  
PAGES UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT  
AN FM DETECTOR FOR LOW S/N  
(FM DETECTOR WITHOUT NORMALIZATION USEFUL FOR LOW S/N RECEPTION OF  
FSK AND PSK IN TELEMETRY SYSTEMS)  
A/PARK, J. H., JR. (AA/MINNESOTA, U., MINNEAPOLIS, MINN./.)  
IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY, VOL. COM-18, P.  
110-118.  
/♦FREQUENCY MODULATION/♦SIGNAL DETECTORS/♦SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIOS/  
AMPLITUDE MODULATION/ CORRELATORS/ FREQUENCY SHIFT KEYING/ PHASE SHIFT  
KEYING/ SINE WAVES/ SYSTEMS ENGINEERING/ TELEMETRY
- ✓ 68A19410 ISSUE 7 PAGE 1144 CATEGORY 7 68/01/00 2 PAGES  
UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT  
WHITE NOISE AND THE DELTA FUNCTION.  
(WHITE NOISE AND DELTA FUNCTION WITH RESPECT TO SIGNAL SPACE;  
DISCUSSING AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION)  
A/PARK, J. H., JR. (AA/MINNESOTA, U., DEPT. OF ELECTRICAL  
ENGINEERING, MINNEAPOLIS, MINN./.)  
IEEE, PROCEEDINGS, VOL. 56, P. 114, 115.  
/♦AUTOCORRELATION/♦DELTA FUNCTION/♦SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIOS/♦WHITE  
NOISE/ KERNEL FUNCTIONS
- ✓ 66N29569+\* ~~TYPE 4226~~ ISSUE 16 PAGE 3120 CATEGORY 19 NASA-CR-75892  
NSG-712 65/00/00 22 PAGES UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT  
AN ENGINEERING APPROACH TO NONLINEAR ESTIMATION  
(LINEAR ANALOG OPERATION FOLLOWED BY NONLINEAR DIGITAL SIGNAL  
PROCESSING FOR NONLINEAR PARAMETER APPROXIMATION)  
A/PARK, J. H., JR.  
MINNESOTA UNIV., MINNEAPOLIS. AVAIL. NTIS  
<1965< 22 P  
/♦APPROXIMATION METHOD/♦COMPUTER METHOD/♦DIGITAL  
SIMULATION/♦NONLINEAR SYSTEM/ AMPLITUDE/ ANALOG/ COMPUTER/ DIGITAL/  
FREQUENCY/ FUNCTION/ LINEAR/ METHOD/ MODULATION/ NONLINEAR/ PARAMETER/  
SIGNAL/ SIMULATION/ SPACE/ SYSTEM